The Effects of a Fat Loss Supplement on Resting Metabolic Rate and Hemodynamic Variables in Healthy Females: Preliminary Results
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ABSTRACT

Individuals looking to improve their physique may ingest thermogenic supplements for the purposes of elevating resting metabolic rate and ultimately induce fat loss. PURPOSE: The purpose of this study was to examine the effects of a commercially available dietary supplement (containing ingredients that promote thermogenesis) on resting metabolic rate (RMR) and hemodynamic variables in a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled cross-over study. METHODS: 10 female participants (27.8 ± 12.4 years; 166.2 ± 7.2 cm; 61.7 ± 8.0 kg, and 22.4 ± 2.8 BMI) volunteered to participate in this investigation. Participants underwent two different testing sessions separated by approximately 7 days. On their first visit, participants arrived to the laboratory after an overnight fast and underwent a baseline RMR, heart rate (HR), and blood pressure (BP) assessment. Following this, each participant ingested a dietary supplement (FitMiss Burn™) or a placebo and repeated the RMR, HR, and BP assessments at 60, 120, and 180 minutes post-ingestion. The thermogenic ingredients contained in the dietary supplement included caffeine, green tea extract, yohimbine HCl, and other ingredients. The placebo was void of active ingredients known to elevate RMR. Approximately 1-week later, the alternative supplement was ingested and the assessments were repeated in the exact same manner. Data were analyzed via a 2-factor [2x4] within-subjects repeated measures analysis of variance (ANOVA) using SPSS version 22.0. Post-hoc tests were analyzed via paired samples t-tests. The criterion for significance was set at p ≤ 0.05. RESULTS: The repeated measures ANOVA revealed a significance effect for time relative to the raw RMR data. Post-hoc analyses revealed that the dietary supplement demonstrated trends for significance at 60 minutes (p = 0.088) post supplementation and significant elevations in RMR (kilocalories/day) at 2 and 3-hours post ingestion (p = 0.033 and 0.017, respectively) as compared to baseline RMR values. The only elevation in the placebo treatment occurred at 3-hour post supplementation (p=0.024) time point as compared to baseline RMR values. Table 1 demonstrates the raw data (mean ± SD) and the percentage increases in RMR for each time point for both supplement groups. Heart rate and blood pressure values did not change over the course of the 3-hour testing period for either group. CONCLUSION: The dietary supplement treatment (FitMiss Burn™) experienced greater elevations in RMR values as compared to the placebo. The dietary supplement treatment (FitMiss Burn™) experienced greater elevations in RMR values as compared to the placebo. The dietary supplement treatment (FitMiss Burn™) experienced greater elevations in RMR values as compared to the placebo. The dietary supplement treatment (FitMiss Burn™) experienced greater elevations in RMR values as compared to the placebo.
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BACKGROUND

Nutritional supplements containing thermogenic properties are commonly used amongst fitness minded individuals in an attempt to facilitate fat loss and increase resting metabolic rate.

The purpose of this study was to investigate the impact of a commercially available thermogenic supplement on resting metabolic rate and hemodynamic variables in a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled cross-over study.

METHODS

10 female participants (27.8 ± 12.4 years; 166.2 ± 7.2 cm; 61.7 ± 8.0 kg, and 22.4 ± 2.8 BMI) participated in this investigation. Participants underwent two different experimental conditions, which was separated by approximately 7 days. On their first visit, participants arrived to the laboratory after an overnight fast and underwent a baseline RMR, heart rate (HR), and blood pressure (BP) assessment.

Following baseline assessments, each participant ingested either a dietary supplement (FitMiss Burn™) or a placebo, and repeated the RMR, HR, and BP assessments at 60, 120, and 180 minutes post-ingestion. On the second visit, approximately 1-week later, the alternative supplement was ingested and the assessments were repeated in the exact same manner.

RESULTS

Repeated measures ANOVA revealed a significance effect for time relative to raw RMR data. Post-hoc analyses revealed that the supplement demonstrated trends for significance at 60-min (p = 0.088) post ingestion and significant elevations in RMR (kilocalories/day) at 2 & 3-hours post ingestion (p = 0.033 and 0.017, respectively) as compared to baseline RMR values.

The only elevation in the placebo treatment occurred at 3-hour post ingestion (p=0.024) time point as compared to baseline RMR values. Heart rate and blood pressure values did not change over the course of the 3-hour testing period for either group.

CONCLUSION

The dietary supplement treatment (FitMiss Burn™) experienced greater elevations in RMR values as compared to the placebo. These elevations came with no adverse effects relative to resting heart rate and blood pressure values.

Taken on a daily basis, FitMiss Burn™ supplementation may increase overall energy expenditure, which may potentially lead to reductions in fat mass over time.

Table 1. RMR (mean ± SD in kcal/day) and BP (mean ± SD) for each supplement group

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Baseline</th>
<th>60-minute</th>
<th>120-minute</th>
<th>180-minute</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FitMiss Burn™</td>
<td>1,422 ± 211</td>
<td>1,455 ± 102 (5.9%)</td>
<td>1,524 ± 111 (7.2%)</td>
<td>1,524 ± 111 (7.2%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Placebo</td>
<td>1,422 ± 211</td>
<td>1,445 ± 102 (5.7%)</td>
<td>1,475 ± 111 (5.5%)</td>
<td>1,512 ± 111 (6.4%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- *P < 0.05 compared to baseline values
- *P < 0.05 compared to placebo values

Change in Resting Metabolic Rate

Change in Resting Metabolic Rate

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Baseline</th>
<th>60-min Post</th>
<th>120-min Post</th>
<th>180-min Post</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FitMiss Burn™</td>
<td>1,422 ± 211</td>
<td>1,455 ± 102 (5.9%)</td>
<td>1,524 ± 111 (7.2%)</td>
<td>1,524 ± 111 (7.2%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Placebo</td>
<td>1,422 ± 211</td>
<td>1,445 ± 102 (5.7%)</td>
<td>1,475 ± 111 (5.5%)</td>
<td>1,512 ± 111 (6.4%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- *P < 0.05 compared to baseline values
- *P < 0.05 compared to placebo values